1 OPENING REMARKS

CHAIRMAN MAJORAS: Well, thank you so very much, Jim. It's terrific to be here. I welcome everyone to Washington and, particularly, our participants in this workshop. I also want to give a special welcome to those joining us by webcast. I must say this is one of our best attended workshops we have had in a while. And, so, together with our webcast participants, I think we're going to have just a tremendous dialogue. So, thank you for being here.

Why are we here? Well, from the sun belt to the rust belt to the beltway, consumers are showing increasing interest in environmental issues and, importantly, this interest may be influencing their purchasing decisions. In a recent USA Today Gallup poll, more than eight in ten Americans said that a company's environmental record should be an important factor in deciding whether to buy its products.

Businesses have taken notice, and in the past year there's been a virtual explosion of green marketing. NBC devoted an entire week to green programming. The current issue of Good Housekeeping includes a piece of how to buy green and not get fooled. Other magazines like Vanity Fair have released green issues and retailers like Wal-Mart and Home Depot have launched green product

1 lines.

In response to this rise in green marketing, we have accelerated our review of the FTC's Green Guides, which were first issued in 1992 and then updated in 1998. We do a regular review on a schedule of our guides and rules, but this one we have decided we need to do at an accelerated pace.

Now, for some of you here today, this may be your first exposure to the FTC, so let me just tell you a little bit about who we are and what we do. Our two fundamental missions are to promote and safeguard competition and to protect consumers. We're a relatively small agency with about just under 1100 employees, but we not only tackle a wide range of prominent competition but consumer protection issues which we'll focus on today. From spam to spyware to mortgage fraud, media violence to mobile marketing, data security to debt collection. And, of course, we run the national Do Not Call Registry.

In the advertising realm, our fundamental tool is the FTC Act which prohibits unfair or deceptive trade practices. So, for marketers, the basic rule to remember is that any material misrepresentation, omission or practice is deceptive if it's likely to mislead consumers who are acting reasonably. In short, marketers have to have a reasonable basis to support their advertising

_		
1	claims	
<u> </u>	Статшь	٠

Now, our job is not to substitute our judgment for that of consumers or to save them from making bad choices, which unfortunately we all do sometimes. Rather, it's to ensure that they obtain the truthful information that they need to make their own choices. And when markets function in this way, consumers win. They secure a broader selection of innovative products at lower prices.

In fulfilling our mission at the FTC, we employ a variety of tools, including law enforcement, market research, business education, consumer education, and the encouragement of sound, self-regulation in the industrial realm. Over the years, our work in the energy and environmental fields has underscored this multi-tiered approach. We've challenged deceptive practices in court, we've published information to help consumers make informed green purchasing decisions, and we've promulgated rules and guides to make the rules of road in this area clear for business. We've also encouraged well constructed industry self-regulatory programs as a way to compliment our own government efforts.

The FTC's Green Guides apply the FTC Act to environmental advertising and marketing practices and offer marketers general principles on how to avoid making

misleading claims. The guides also provide guidance to marketers on specific claims such as what is meant by environmentally friendly, recyclable, compostable. Since the guides were last revised in 1998, of course, the market has experienced the increased use of these terms to promote the green attributes of products, their packaging, their manufacturing processes. But we've also had the introduction, of course, of new terms like sustainable, bio-based, cradle to cradle, and carbon neutral.

Given the dynamic nature of this marketplace, it's important that the guides are responding to today's challenges and to consumer perceptions currently of these environmental claims. After all, consumers today have the option to purchase products and use them in ways that were unforeseen 15 years ago, when we first developed our guides, and consumer perceptions of old green claims may have evolved significantly over time. Our robust review of these guides will allow us to explore emerging consumer protection issues and provide better direction to green marketers.

Now, as is usual in reviewing a rule or guide, the FTC is seeking public comment on the continuing need for the guides, their economic impact, the affect of the guides on the accuracy of various environmental claims,

and the interaction of the guides with other environmental marketing regulations.

Given the explosion in the role of green marketing, though, we also decided to hold a series of public workshops on emerging green marketing issues.

What we have found is that holding these types of workshops, and we do it on a whole variety of issues within our jurisdiction, provides us with an effective and very open way to take in and test the perspectives of various experts and stakeholders in these areas.

Today's event, the first in a series, focuses on carbon offsets and renewable energy certificates, or RECs, which are among the new products not addressed specifically today by the Green Guides. Carbon offsets and RECs are separate yet closely related products in this marketplace and, as many of you know, I'm quite certain, carbon offsets which are available now for purchase frequently serve as the basis for claims that greenhouse gas emissions are reduced. The offsets are memorialized in credits or certificates that purportedly represent measurable reductions in greenhouse emissions accomplished through such activities as methane capturing or tree planting.

RECs, on the other hand, serve as a new means to market renewable energy. RECs represent the renewable

attributes of electricity from wind, solar and other renewable energy sources and are sold separately from the electricity produced. As is the case with carbon offsets, companies and individuals can purchase RECs to offset emissions associated with their own activities.

In an effort to become carbon neutral, many purchasers seek to obtain enough offsets to match their own emissions.

The term "carbon neutral" has received a lot of attention. Indeed, early last year, the new Oxford American Dictionary added the word "carbon neutral" having named it the 2006 word of the year. I didn't know such a thing existed. Last year, consumers watched a carbon neutral Superbowl, Academy Awards telecast, and NASCAR race.

Interest in carbon offsets and RECs, however, has not been limited to football fans, Oscar winners and racing enthusiasts. According to a recent Business Week article, the market for carbon offsets in the U.S. could be as high as \$100 million, and the New York Times reported that the number of offsets sold by online realtors grew by more than 42 percent from 2005 to 2006 and continued to grow at a steep rate during 2007. The sale of carbon offsets and RECs, if marketed truthfully, can provide interested consumers the opportunity to

participate in this market for products and services that may reduce emissions.

To explore the consumer protection issues raised by this emerging market, throughout the day, experts from environmental organizations, industry, government and academia will address the technical and marketing issues posed by carbon offsets and RECs. These experts will discuss a wide range of issues related to these products, including efforts by a variety of organizations in the U.S. and internationally to develop methods for substantiating these claims, as well as discussing new and ongoing self-regulatory and certification efforts.

We hope that our discussions today can play an important role in furthering our collective understanding of the challenges that are presented here, and let me throw out a couple that we see.

For example, unlike tangible goods like cars or breakfast cereal, carbon offsets and RECs don't offer consumers an easy way to verify that they're receiving the product for which they paid. Many of the products funded by the sale of RECs or carbon offsets occur in places remote from consumers, whether the activity is planting trees in another country or subsidizing wind-powered energy across the U.S. Moreover, even if

consumers could see the project in action, most of us would have great difficulty in confirming that our offset purchase actually funds that particular project, or that the project would not have happened without our purchase, or for that matter that the project actually reduces atmospheric carbon in the amount that's claimed. Simply put, with this much uncertainty, there's a heightened potential for deception.

In addition, these new products raise questions of consumer interpretations. So, for example, when consumers buy offsets, do they know what they're purchasing? How do they interpret express claims about the general environmental benefits of the products and what implied claims are consumers taking away from this marketing? And, of course, substantiating claims may pose challenges for marketers.

Marketers first have to ensure that both the express and implied claims are based on competent and reliable evidence. If you say that your product offsets a certain amount of atmospheric carbon, then it should do just that. Additionally, even when the science is sound, other substantiation issues may arise. For example, sales of offsets and RECs may involve multiple transactions in a variety of different entities, and inadequate tracking and verification systems could lead

even those sellers acting in good faith to inadvertently sell the same product more than once. Unfortunately, these realities could also create opportunities for bad actors to deceive consumers.

So, today, we're going to explore these and other issues to determine the best way for the FTC to protect consumers in these burgeoning markets. A deeper understanding not only would help us combat fraud in the future, but will help us provide better guidance to marketers seeking to make truthful claims and also to provide guidance to consumers in making purchasing decisions.

Consistent with our past efforts on green matters, though, I want to make clear that we don't plan to develop environmental performance standards. We don't have the authority or the technical expertise to address issues of environmental or energy regulation, per se.

Nor are we in the business of mandating environmentally preferable practices. Instead, our efforts will focus on our traditional consumer protection role addressing deceptive and unfair practices under the FTC Act. And as part of this effort, we are seeking to determine whether additional FTC guidance is warranted and, if so, what that guidance should be.

We have an impressive group assembled here

1	today, so I am confident that we are all going to leave
2	better informed than when we arrived. So, again, I want
3	to thank you for your interest and participation in these
4	very important issues, and my special thanks to the
5	panelists for taking time to lend us your expertise.
6	Have a good day. Thank you.
7	(Applause.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	